# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER DOCKET NO.: 2007-1212-WQ TCEQ ID: RN104917901 CASE NO.: 34322 RESPONDENT NAME: FRANK LAMAS DBA LAMAS SURPLUS

ORDER TYPE:					
1660 AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING			
X FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	X FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDERSHUTDOWN ORDER				
_AMENDED ORDER	_EMERGENCY ORDER				
CASE TYPE:					
AIR	MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE			
PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION			
X WATER QUALITY	SEWAGE SLUDGE	UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL			
MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	RADIOACTIVE WASTE	DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION			
SITE WHERE VIOLATION(S) OCCURRED: 345 E. Wheeler Avenue, Aransas Pass, San Patricio County  TYPE OF OPERATION: scrap and waste recycling facility  SMALL BUSINESS: _X_ Yes No  OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: There are no complaints. There is no record of additional pending enforcement actions regarding this facility location.  INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other than the ED and the Respondent has expressed an interest in this matter.					
COMMENTS RECEIVED: The Texas Register comment period expired on October 13, 2008. No comments were received.  CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST:  TCEQ Attorney: Mr. Rudy Calderon, Litigation Division, MC 175, (512) 239-0205  Ms. Lena Roberts, Litigation Division, MC 175, (512) 239-0019  TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Mr. Clinton Sims, Waste Enforcement Section, MC 169, (512) 239-6933  TCEQ Regional Contact: Mr. David Kennebeck, Corpus Christi Regional Office, MC R-14, (361) 825-3111  Respondent: Mr. Frank Lamas, Owner, Lamas Surplus, 345 E. Wheeler Ave., Aransas Pass, Texas 78336  Respondent's Attorney: Not represented by counsel on this enforcement matter.					

# RESPONDENT NAME: FRANK LAMAS DBA LAMAS SURPLUS DOCKET NO.: 2007-1212-WQ-E

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART:		
VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
Type of Investigation:	Total Assessed: \$4,200	Ordering Provision(s)
Complaint Routine Enforcement Follow-up X Records Review	Total Deferred: \$0 Expedited Settlement Financial Inability to Pay SEP Conditional Offset	The Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:  1. Within 30 days, develop and implement a
Date of Complaint Relating to this Case: None	Total Due to General Revenue: \$4,200	Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan and submit a Notice of Intent letter to comply with the General Construction Permit requirements.
Date of Investigation Relating to this Case: March 1, 2007	This is a Default Order. The Respondent has not actually paid any of the assessed penalty but will be required to do so under the terms of this order.	2. Within 45 days, submit written to demonstrate compliance with this Ordering Provision.
Date of NOE Relating to this Case: May 21, 2007	Site Compliance History Classification High X Average Poor	compliance with this Ordering Provision.
Background Facts: The case was referred to the Litigation Division on November 5, 2007. EDPRP was filed on January 2, 2008, and mailed to the Respondent via certified mail, return receipt requested, and via first class mail, postage prepaid. According to the return receipt "green card," the Respondent received notice of the EDPRP on	Person Compliance History Classification  High X Average Poor  Major Source: Yes X No  Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002	
January 7, 2008, as evidenced by the signature on the card. The Respondent has failed to answer the EDPRP, failed to request a hearing, and failed to schedule a settlement conference.		
Current Compliance Status:  Not yet in compliance.		
WQ: Failed to obtain authorization to discharge storm water associated with industrial activity to water in the state through an individual permit or a Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Multi-Sector General Permit [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 281.25(a)(4) and 40 CFR § 122.26(c)].		
	·	·

Policy Revision 2 (Sept	Penalty Calculatio	n Worksheet (P	•	May 25, 2007
TCEQ				
DATES Assigned PCW	29-May-2007 23-Oct-2007	EPA Due	]	
RESPONDENT/FACILITY				
Respondent Reg. Ent. Ref. No.	Frank Lamas dba Lamas Surplus RN104917901			-
Facility/Site Region	14-Corpus Christi	Major/Minor Source	Minor	
CASE INFORMATION		in var der 1810 in det en der de 1810 begin	क्षा व्यवस्थि (Marin होतु र असर) (श्रुपोन अस्ति स्ट स्ट क्रिस्	e teathal aire int
Enf./Case ID No.	34322	No. of Violations		1
Docket No.	2007-1212-WQ-E	Order Type		
Media Program(s) Multi-Media	Water Quality	Enf. Coordinator	Cynthia McKaughan EnforcementTeam 7	
Admin. Penalty \$ L	imit Minimum \$0 Maximum	\$10,000	Emorodinentrodin	1
		-ti Oti		
Construigners: perce yourself the the professional profession from the profession of the percentage of	Penalty Calcula		3 / 1. M. (1997) 1 / A (1998) 6 (1998) 1 / A (1998)	
TOTAL BASE PENAL	TY (Sum of violation base penalti	es)	Subtotal 1	\$4,000
ADJUSTMENTS (+/-)	TO SUBTOTAL 1			
<ul> <li>[16] W. L. Chin, "Efficience in the same expension of the second sections of the same of</li></ul>	ned by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) b		otals 2, 3, & 7	\$200
Compliance Histo		the Control of the Co	otals 2,3,001	φ200
Notes	The penalty is enhanced because the Res with violations same or similar to the		·	
Culpability	No 0%	o Enhancement	Subtotal 4	\$0
Notes	The Respondent does not meet th	e culpability criteria.		
Good Faith Effort	to Comply 0%	Reduction	Subtotal 5	\$0
	Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	ad (1 Ambin 17 Sulf for Nich 20 C ) And (2 C ) And (2 C ) And (3 C) And (4 C	gord and photographic and congression and enterior and account of the state of the	
Extraordinary Ordinary			4	
N/A	X (mark with x)		· .	
Notes	The Respondent does not meet th	ne good faith criteria.		
	0%	. Enhancement*	Subtotal 6	\$0
Approx. (	Total EB Amounts \$145 *Cappe Cost of Compliance \$2,000	d at the Total EB \$ Amount		. ,
SUM OF SUBTOTALS	<b>31.7</b>		Final Subtotal	\$4,200
OTHER FACTORS AS Reduces or enhances the Final Si	S JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE  ubtotal by the indicated percentage. (Enter number on	ly; e.g30 for -30%.)	Adjustment	\$0
Notes				
		Final Pe	nalty Amount	\$4,200
STATUTORY LIMIT A	DJUSTMENT	Final Asse	essed Penalty	\$4,200
DEFERRAL Reduces the Final Assessed Pen	alty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.	0% Reduction g. 20 for 20% reduction.)	Adjustment	\$0
Notes	Deferral not offered for non-exp	peaitea settlement	<u> </u>	
	and the second s	A STATE OF THE STA		

Screening Date 6-Jun-2007

Docket No. 2007-1212-WQ-E

PCW

Respondent Frank Lamas dba Lamas Surplus

Case ID No. 34322

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

PCW Revision May 25, 2007

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN104917901

Media [Statute] Water Quality

Enf. Coordinator Cynthia McKaughan

Compliance History Workshoot

	Number of  Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action			
NOVs	(number of NOVs meeting criteria)	<b>1</b> .	5%	
	Other written NOVs	- O	0%	]
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%	
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%	
Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)		0%	
Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%	
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%	] -
	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0 -	0%	_
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%	
Addito	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%	
	Plea	se Enter Yes or No		7
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%	
		No	0%	
Otner		No	0%	,
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government		0%	
		'ercentage (Sเ	ıbtotal 2)	5%
t Violator (Su	btotal 3)		The state of the s	
. No	Adjustment P	'ercentage (Sเ	ıbtotal 3)	0%
iance History	Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		A. SAK	
Average Po	erformer Adjustment P	'ercentage (Sเ	ıbtotal 7)	0%
iance History	Summary	And the second s		
Compliance History Notes	The penalty is enhanced because the Respondent received one NOV with violations same those cited in this action.	e or similar to		
	Judgments and Consent Decrees  Convictions Emissions  Audits  Other  Violator (Su  No ance History  Average Period ance History  Compliance History	of itability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission  Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)  Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government  Convictions  Emissions  Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)  Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)  Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)  Plea  Environmental management systems in place for one year or more  Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program  Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program  Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements  Adjustment P  Violator (Subtotal 3)  No  Adjustment P  ance History Person Classification (Subtotal 7)  Average Performer  The penalty is enhanced because the Respondent received one NOV with violations same those cited in this action.	of itability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission  Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting of criteria)  Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government (number of ocunts)  Convictions Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)  Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)  Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)  Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)  Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)  Please Enter Yes or No  Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program  Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program  Adjustment Percentage (Sumance History Person Classification (Subtotal 7)  Average Performer  Adjustment Percentage (Sumance History Summary  The penalty is enhanced because the Respondent received one NOV with violations same or similar to those cited in this action.	of ilability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission  Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgments or consent decrees meeting contribute)  Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government (number of counts)  Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government (number of counts)  Convictions Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)  Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)  Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)  Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)  Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)  Please Enter Yes or No  Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program  Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program  Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 2)  Violator (Subtotal 3)  No  Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 7)  Average Performer  Adjustment Percentage in this action.  The penalty is enhanced because the Respondent received one NOV with violations same or similar to t

Scr	eening Date 6-Jun-2007	Docke	t No. 2007-1212-WQ-E	PCW
the state of the s	<b>Respondent</b> Frank Lamas d	ba Lamas Surplus		Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)
	Case ID No. 34322			PCW Revision May 25, 2007
	ference No. RN104917901			
	dia [Statute] Water Quality	•	•	
	Coordinator Cynthia McKau lation Number 1	ignan		
V101				
	Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admir	n. Code § 281.25(a)(4) and 40 Co	ode of Federal Regulations § 122.	.26(c)
Violatic	activity to wate Elimination Respondent	r in the state through an individu System Multi-Sector General Pe	torm water associated with indust al permit or a Texas Pollutant Dis rmit for storm water. Specifically, a storm water pollution prevention harge storm water at the site.	charge the
			Base P	enalty \$10,000
>> Environmenta	I, Property and Human H	lealth Matrix		
en y y galajan hidu in destrum dada see	ka Alian kan ka ka kama Talah (kah) (kana) a Talah (kana) a rapubah dalam kalyunan a Amerikan ke WW William (k	Harm	THE SCHOOL AS A CONTROL Front And Advantage Control and the Control And American Control And American	
OR	Release Major  Actual	Moderate Minor		
1	Potential		Percent 0%	
		more reason much consider a consideration and details a walker related between the consideration produced by the consideration and t	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
>>Programmatic				
	Falsification Major	Moderate Minor	Percent 10%	
	<u> </u>		reicent 10/6	
Matrix Notes		100% of the rule requirement wa	as not met.	
	MS 1005 TAX TO THE POPULATION OF THE P			\$9,000
			Adjustment	59,000
			•	\$1,000
Violation Events				
	Number of Violation Events	4	Number of violation days	
	daily	x		
	mark only one quarterly		Violation Base P	enalty \$4,000
	with an x semiannual			
	annual			
	single event	<u></u>		
	Four monthly events are re-	commended from the date of the the date of screening (June 6	investigation (March 1, 2007) three, 2007).	ough
∟conomic Benefi	t (EB) for this violation		Statutory Limit Test:	
,	Estimated EB Amount	\$145	Violation Final Penalty	Total \$4,200
		This violation Final A	Assessed Penalty (adjusted for I	limits) \$4,200
		THE VIOLUTION IN INC.	Cococci Charly (adjusted for	V-1,200

	T. C. S. E	conomic E	3enefit W	orks	sheet		
Respondent	Frank Lamas di	ba Lamas Surplus	s year box and dish it will	ar a	April State Control	and the second second	ar de esta virtual de
Case ID No.		·	•				
Rea. Ent. Reference No.	RN104917901						
	Water Quality	,					Years of
Violation No.						Percent Interest	Depreciation
Violation No.		e grassija viensija	a ne u sastanap	8125.4	5.46.94. 35 N.45	5.0	ala ata da Baratana da Abeli Sasal
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	<u>.                                    </u>	15 EB Amount
물 현실 사람들이 되는 그리는 것		Date Required	I iliai Date	113	interest Javeu	Onethine Costs	LD Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$			لأدكيني		a Madalah dalah	
and the second s	يعامد فوردها في التحديق الاستادات	aran a a garagagas	at week and week process and week process		a Azerbania (maganisa da Sara)	ساري مستوف إستندي والاناد	e see constitutions
Delayed Costs	40 10 10 10 10						
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings			<u>i</u> v ymyt	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction	\$2,000	1-Mar-2007	14-Mar-2008	1.0	\$7	\$138 n/a	\$145 \$0
Land				0.0	\$0 \$0	Low Stiller Model Live Processing Street Control Contr	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling		****		0.0	\$0	n/a ma	\$0 \$0
Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a t	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs						a stormwater polluti ected date of compli	
Avoided Costs	ANN	UALIZE [1] avoide	d costs before e	ntering	item (except for	one-time avoided c	osts)
Disposal	1 / 1 / A	e la		0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel	The second			0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling			ing partitopy (1946)	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment	AND THE STATE OF T	A Eram College and	(1975年),李肇。	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]			and the second	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	and the second second	eservicina de la		0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)			3 A 5 7524	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
·							
Notes for AVOIDED costs							

# **Compliance History**

Customer	/Respondent/Owner-Operator:	CN603000605 LAMA	S, FRANK		Classification: AVERAGE	Rating: 3.00
Regulated	d Entity:	RN104917901 LAMA	S SURPLUS		Classification: AVERAGE	Site Rating: 3.00
ID Numbe	er(s):		<u></u>		*	
Location:	,	345 E WHEELER AVE, ARA	ANSAS PASS, TX, 78	B336	Rating Date: September 01 00	Repeat Violator:
TCEQ Re	gion:	REGION 14 - CORPUS CH	IRISTI			
Date Con	npliance History Prepared:	June 05, 2007	,			
Agency D	ecision Requiring Compliance History:	Enforcement				
Complian	ce Period:	June 05, 2002 to June 05, 2	2007			
TCEQ Sta	aff Member to Contact for Additional Inform	nation Regarding this Complian	nce History			
Name:	Cynthia McKaughan	Phone:	512-239-0735		<del></del>	
		Site Complia	nce History Comp	onents		
1. Has the	e site been in existence and/or operation fo	or the full five year compliance	period?	Yes.		
2. Has the	ere been a (known) change in ownership o	f the site during the compliance	e period?	Yes		
3. If Yes,	who is the current owner?			Frank Lamas	Enterprises	
4. if Yes,	who was/were the prior owner(s)?			N/A		_
5. When	did the change(s) in ownership occur?			N/A		·
Compor	ents (Multimedia) for the Site :					
A.	Final Enforcement Orders, court judgem	ents, and consent decrees of t	he state of Texas and	i the federal govern	ment.	
	N/A					
В	Any spinning convictions of the state of T	avec and the fodoral governmen	ont .			
В.	Any criminal convictions of the state of T N/A	exas and the rederal governme	ent,			
C.	Chronic excessive emissions events.				•	
	N/A					
D.	The approval dates of investigations. (C	CEDS Inv. Track. No.)				
	1 05/21/2007 (560424)					
E.	Written notices of violations (NOV). (CC	EDS Inv. Track. No.)				
	Date: 05/04/2006 (46175	7)				
•	Self Report? NO	•	Classif	fication: Moder	ate	
		pter 281, SubChapter A 281.25 DES MSGP for Storm Water  -				
	Description: Failure to ob activity to wa	itain authorization to discharge ater in the state through an indi r the TPDES. The facility's prim	storm water associate vidual permit or the M	ISGP TXR050000	٠.	
F.	Environmental audits. N/A					
G.	Type of environmental management sys	tems (EMSs).				
	N/A					
H.	Voluntary on-site compliance assessmen	nt dates.				
	N/A			,		·
l.	Participation in a voluntary pollution redu	uction program.				
	N/A					
J.	Early compliance.					
	N/A					
Sites Out	side of Texas					

N/A

## TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
FRANK LAMAS DBA LAMAS	§	
SURPLUS;	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
RN104917901	§	

#### DEFAULT ORDER DOCKET NO. 2007-1212-WQ-E

At its	agen	da, the Texas C	ommission on En	vironmental (	Quality,
("Commission" or "TCE	EQ") considered the	Executive Dire	ector's Preliminar	y Report and	Petition
filed pursuant to TEX. W	ATER CODE chs. 7 as	nd 26, 40 CODE	OF REGULATIONS	pt. 122, and t	he rules
of the TCEQ, which requ	ests appropriate reli	ef, including the	imposition of an a	dministrative	penalty
and corrective action of	the respondent. Th	ne respondent n	nade the subject o	f this Order i	is Frank
Lamas dba Lamas Surpl	us ("Mr. Lamas").				

The Commission makes the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law:

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Mr. Lamas owns and operates a scrap and waste recycling facility located at 345 E. Wheeler Avenue, Aransas Pass, San Patricio County, Texas (the "Facility").
- 2. The Facility has discharged waste into or adjacent to any water in the state or has committed another act that has caused or will cause pollution of any state water under the Texas Water Code.
- 3. During an investigation conducted on March 1, 2007, a TCEQ Corpus Christi Regional Office investigator documented that Mr. Lamas failed to obtain authorization to discharge storm water associated with industrial activity to water in the state through an individual permit or a Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Multi-Sector General Permit for storm water. Specifically, Mr. Lamas did not develop and implement a storm water pollution prevention plan (SWP3) and obtain coverage to discharge storm water at the Facility.
- 4. Mr. Lamas received notice of the violation on or about May 26, 2007.

- 5. The Executive Director filed the "Executive Director's Preliminary Report and Petition Recommending that the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Enter an Enforcement Order Assessing an Administrative Penalty Against and Requiring Certain Actions of Frank Lamas dba Lamas Surplus" (the "EDPRP") in the TCEQ Chief Clerk's office on January 2, 2008.
- 6. By letter dated January 2, 2008, sent via certified mail, return receipt requested, and via first class mail, postage prepaid, the Executive Director served Mr. Lamas with notice of the EDPRP. According to the return receipt "green card," Mr. Lamas received notice of the EDPRP on January 7, 2008, as evidenced by the signature on the card.
- 7. More than 20 days have elapsed since Mr. Lamas received notice of the EDPRP, provided by the Executive Director. Mr. Lamas failed to file an answer to the EDPRP, failed to request a hearing, and failed to schedule a settlement conference.

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- 1. As evidenced by Finding of Fact Nos. 1 and 2, Mr. Lamas is subject to the jurisdiction of the TCEQ pursuant to TEX. WATER CODE ch. 26, 40 CODE OF REGULATIONS pt. 122, and the rules of the Commission.
- 2. As evidenced by Finding of Fact No. 3, Mr. Lamas failed to obtain authorization to discharge storm water associated with industrial activity to water in the state through an individual permit or a Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Multi-Sector General Permit for storm water, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 281.25(a)(4) and 40 Code of Federal Regulations § 122.26(c).
- 3. As evidenced by Finding of Fact Nos. 5 and 6, the Executive Director timely served Mr. Lamas with proper notice of the EDPRP, as required by Tex. WATER CODE § 7.055 and 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.104(a).
- 4. As evidenced by Finding of Fact No. 7, Mr. Lamas failed to file a timely answer to the EDPRP, as required by Tex. Water Code § 7.056 and 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.105. Pursuant to Tex. Water Code § 7.057 and 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.106, the Commission may enter a Default Order against Mr. Lamas and assess the penalty recommended by the Executive Director.
- 5. Pursuant to Tex. Water Code § 7.051, the Commission has the authority to assess an administrative penalty against Mr. Lamas for violations of the Texas Water Code within the Commission's jurisdiction, for violations of rules adopted under such statutes, or for violations of orders or permits issued under such statutes.

- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of four thousand two hundred dollars (\$4,200.00) is justified by the facts recited in this Order, and considered in light of the factors set forth in Tex. Water Code § 7.053.
- 7. TEX. WATER CODE §§ 5.102 and 7.002 authorize the Commission to issue orders and make determinations necessary to effectuate the purposes of the statutes within its jurisdiction.

#### **ORDERING PROVISIONS**

NOW, THEREFORE, THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ORDERS that:

1. Mr. Lamas is assessed an administrative penalty in the amount of four thousand two hundred dollars (\$4,200.00) for violations of the Texas Water Code and the rules of the TCEQ. The payment of this administrative penalty and Mr. Lamas' compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Order completely resolve the matters set forth by this Order in this action. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective actions or penalties for other violations which are not raised here. All checks submitted to pay the penalty imposed by this Order shall be made out to the "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality." The administrative penalty assessed by this Order shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Order and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Frank Lamas dba Lamas Surplus; Docket No. 2007-1212-WO-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. Mr. Lamas shall undertake the following technical requirements:
  - a. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Order, Mr. Lamas shall develop and implement a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan and submit a Notice of Intent letter to comply with the General Construction Permit requirements, in accordance with 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 281.25(a)(4) and 40 Code of Federal Regulations § 122.26(c) to:

Frank Lamas dba Lamas Surplus Docket No. 2007-1212-WQ-E Page 4

Wastewater Permitting Section
Water Quality Division, MC 148
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

b. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Order, Mr. Lamas shall submit written certification and detailed supporting documentation, including photographs, receipts, and other records, to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision No. 2.a. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Mr. Lamas shall submit the written certification and copies of documentation necessary to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision No. 2.a.:

Order Compliance Team
Enforcement Division, MC 149A
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

David Kennebeck, Water Section, Manager Corpus Christi Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 6300 Ocean Drive, Suite 1200 Corpus Christi, Texas 78412-5503

3. All relief not expressly granted in this Order is denied.

- 4. The provisions of this Order shall apply to and be binding upon Mr. Lamas. Mr. Lamas is ordered to give notice of this Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Order.
- 5. If Mr. Lamas fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, Mr. Lamas's failure to comply is not a violation of this Order. Mr. Lamas shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. Mr. Lamas shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after Mr. Lamas becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 6. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by Mr. Lamas shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until Mr. Lamas receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 7. The Executive Director may refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings without notice to Mr. Lamas if the Executive Director determines that Mr. Lamas has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Order.
- 8. This Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Order, whichever is later.
- 9. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Order to each of the parties. By law, the effective date of this Order shall be the date the Order is final, as provided by 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.106(d) and Tex. Gov't Code § 2001.144.

Frank Lamas dba Lamas Surplus Docket No. 2007-1212-WQ-E Page 6

### SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission

#### AFFIDAVIT OF RUDY CALDERON

STATE OF TEXAS δ COUNTY OF TRAVIS

"My name is Rudy Calderon. I am of sound mind, capable of making this affidavit, and the facts stated in this affidavit are within my personal knowledge and are true and correct.

On behalf of the Executive Director of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, the "Executive Director's Preliminary Report and Petition Recommending that the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Enter an Enforcement Order Assessing an Administrative Penalty Against and Requiring Certain Actions of Frank Lamas dba Lamas Surplus" (the "EDPRP") was filed with the Office of the Chief Clerk on January 2, 2008.

The EDPRP was mailed to Mr. Lamas at its his last known address on January 2, 2008, via certified mail, return receipt requested, and via first class mail, postage prepaid. According to the return receipt "green card," Mr. Lamas received notice of the EDPRP on January 7, 2008, as evidenced by the signature on the card.

More than 20 days have elapsed since Mr. Lamas received notice of the EDPRP. Mr. Lamas failed to file an answer to the EDPRP, failed to request a hearing, and failed to schedule a settlement conference."

Zalderon, Attorney

Office of Legal Services, Litigation Division Texas Commission on Environmental Quality

Before me, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared Rudy Calderon, known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument, and acknowledged to me that he executed the same for the purposes and consideration herein expressed.

Given under my hand and seal of office this 21

day of <u>Cugus</u>, A.D., 2008.

Jacque Lustig Notary Public State of Texas April 25, 2011